UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,181	03/10/2004	Dory Tuvim	9680.248US01	5290
23552 MERCHANT	7590 09/19/2007		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 290	3		LUPINO,	LUPINO, GINA M
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
				·
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/798,181		TUVIM, DORY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
Gina M. Lupino		3652	

Advisory Action	10//98,181	TUVIM, DORY	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gina M. Lupino	3652	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in occurred with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to I within the time period set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	· - · ·	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	ent concoling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	·		
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	V Padvo	ret
	SUPERV	SAUL RODRIGUEZ ISORY PATENT FX	AMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation in claim 1 requires the lifting members are hydraulic cylinders with lifting hooks.